

Title IX Student Formal Resolution Conduct Process At-A-Glance

I. INTAKE

	11 12
	Complainant is referred or reports to the Title IX Coordinator an experience of Prohibited Behavior.
	Complainant or Title IX Coordinator submits Formal Complaint Form for evaluation of next steps.
	Initial Title IX assessment by the Dean of Students (Senior Official) and/or Title IX Coordinator determines if threshold is met for further investigation and adjudication.
	Resolution options shared with Complainant along with Rights and Options, supportive measures, and prohibition of retaliation.
	If threshold is met, the College proceeds with investigation as appropriate.
	If the Title IX Coordinator and/or Dean of Students determine threshold not met, Complainant can appeal to
	Vice President for Student Affairs.
II. INV	ESTIGATION
	a. Notice of Investigation
	Complainant is notified by Title IX Coordinator that Respondent will be contacted. Title IX Coordinator requests to meet with Respondent.
	Title IX Coordinator and Dean of Students (Senior Official) meet with Respondent and their support person
	to share allegations, resources, supportive measures, next steps, Notice of Investigation, and discuss
	prohibition of retaliation.
	Respondent and Complainant are notified of investigation and next steps via e-mail by the Dean of Students
	(or designee) in a Notice of Investigation letter which includes (1) the process for formal and voluntary
	resolution; (2) the names of the Complainant and the Respondent; (3) the date, time (if known), location, and
	precise nature of the reported conduct; (4) the specific policy violation(s) at issue; (5) the name and contact
	information of the Investigator; (6) how to challenge participation by the Investigator on the basis of a conflict
	of interest or bias; (7) information about the parties' participation in the process; (8) the prohibition against
	retaliation; (9) the importance of preserving any potentially relevant evidence in any format; (10) information
	about how a party may request reasonable accommodations for a disability or language diversity during the
	process; (11) a presumption of non-responsibility; (12) that the parties are entitled to an advisor of their
	choice, who is permitted to review the evidence gathered in the investigation that is relevant or directly related to the investigation; (13) that if parties at a hearing do not have an advisor, the College will provide
	one to them at no cost or charge; (14) that the College prohibits providing false or misleading information;
	and (15), a copy of the policy.
	Respondent is presumed to be not responsible throughout the process until and if a preponderance of the
ш	evidence supports a finding that the Respondent violated the Policy.
	Complainant receives request to meet with investigator for an interview to tell their narrative of the
	incident(s) and are asked to provide witness names and exhibits/evidence; reminded that retaliation is
	prohibited; reminded of support resources, and the right to a support person of their choice.



	Respondent receives request to meet with investigator for an interview to tell their narrative of the incident(s)
	and are asked to provide witness names and exhibits/evidence; reminded that retaliation is prohibited;
	reminded of support resources, and the right to a support person of their choice.
	If the investigation reveals the existence of additional or different potential violations of this policy, the Senior
	Official will issue a supplemental notice of investigation.
	Any party may raise a challenge to the designated investigator(s) on the basis of actual bias or conflict of
	interest. This challenge must be raised, in writing, to the Title IX Coordinator within 2 business days of
	receipt of the Notice of Investigation.
	b. Investigation Process
	The investigator will interview the Complainant who is allowed to have a support person of their choice
	present.
	The investigator will interview the Respondent who is allowed to have a support person of their choice
	present.
	The investigator will interview relevant witnesses who are allowed to have a support person of their choice
	present.
	Audio recordings will be made during interviews; transcripts of the interviews are created by the investigator
	and are exhibits in the investigation report and evidence review; they are reviewed by the Complainant and
	Respondent.
	Complainant, Respondent, and witnesses are asked to provide any correspondence, evidence, or
	information that relates to the case.
	Draft Investigative Report/Evidence Review and supplemental materials are made available to both parties
	by the Dean of Students, or designee, on a secure site.
	c. Draft Investigation Report
	All information and evidence gathered throughout the investigation is made available to the parties and their
	support persons for review in a draft investigation report.
	The parties will have an equal opportunity to inspect and review any evidence obtained as part of the
	investigation that is directly related to the allegations raised in a formal complaint, including the evidence
	upon which the College does not intend to rely in reaching a determination regarding responsibility, and
	inculpatory or exculpatory evidence, whether obtained from a party or other source, so that each party can
	meaningfully respond to the evidence prior to conclusion of the investigation.
	The parties will have <u>10 business days</u> to submit a written response, which may be in the form of
	comments or clarifications, proposed questions for the investigator(s) to ask the other party, or identification
	of additional witnesses or sources of evidence, which the investigator will consider prior to completion of the
	investigative report.
	d. Final Investigation Report
	At the conclusion of the investigation, the investigator(s) will prepare a written investigation report that fairly
	summarizes the relevant information and facts gathered during the investigation.



	The Final Investigative Report includes all summaries, addenda, transcripts, evidence, exhibits and responses from Draft Investigative Report/Evidence Review.
	The Final Investigative Report is shared with Complainant, Respondent, their support persons, and the
	Adjudicator by the Title IX Coordinator or Dean of Students 10 or more business days before the
	adjudication hearing.
	e. Assessment for Mandatory Dismissal
	At the conclusion of the investigation, the Title IX Coordinator will review the investigation report to
	determine whether the formal complaint must be dismissed. If some or all of the allegations are dismissed,
	the Title IX Coordinator will provide the parties notice of the dismissal and the opportunity to appeal that
	dismissal.
AD.	JUDICATION HEARING
	a. Adjudication Hearing
	The Title IX Coordinator or Senior Official will send the parties a Notice of Hearing and procedures of the hearing.
	Hearings are conducted virtually, typically via Webex.
	Either party can request to have the hearing rescheduled. Absent extenuating circumstances, requests to
	reschedule must be submitted to the Senior Official or Title IX Coordinator at least 5 business days prior to
	the hearing.
	The Adjudicator is typically a retired judge who will oversee the hearing process and make a determination
	of responsibility.
	Parties and witnesses are encouraged, but not required, to attend the hearing. The Adjudicator may not
	draw an adverse inference about the determination regarding responsibility based solely on a party's or witness's absence from the hearing.
	Complainant may bring or have a support person of their choice present for the hearing. No other support is allowed in the room for the hearing unless previously approved by the College.
	Respondent may bring or have a support person of their choice present for the hearing. No other support is allowed in the room for the hearing unless previously approved by the College.
	Both parties are provided the opportunity to cross-examine/question the other party via their adviser. If a
	party does not have a support person for this portion of the hearing, one will be provided to them by the
	College free of charge. Direct cross-examination by the parties is not permitted.
	The hearing is recorded and the recording is kept in a secure site.
	Both parties can bring Impact/Mitigation Statements with their suggestions for the appropriate outcomes if a
	finding of Responsibility is reached.
	The findings of the Adjudicator will be documented in a written case opinion within <u>5 business days</u> of
	completion of the hearing. The case opinion will include findings of fact supporting the determination;
	conclusions regarding the application of the policy to the facts; a statement of, and rationale for, the result as
	to each alleged policy violation, including a determination regarding responsibility; and, the Adjudicator's

III.



recommended sanction and rationale for the sanction. The case opinion will be provided to the Senior Official for sanctioning.

b. Notice of Outcome

The written notification of outcome will include: a. Identification of the allegations potentially constituting
Prohibited Behavior; b. A description of the procedural steps taken from the receipt of the formal complaint
through the determination, including any notifications to the parties, interviews with parties and witnesses,
site visits, methods used to gather other evidence, and hearings held; c. Findings of fact supporting the
determination; d. Conclusions regarding the application of the policy to the facts; and e. A statement of, and
rationale for, the result as to each allegation, including a determination regarding responsibility, any
disciplinary, and whether remedies designed to restore or preserve equal access to the College's education
program or activity will be provided to the Complainant; f. The procedures and permissible bases for the
Complainant and Respondent to appeal.

Generally, the notice of outcome will be final and communicated to the parties within <u>5 business days</u> from the date the Adjudicator submits the case opinion to the Senior Official. The Notice includes findings of responsibility on charges, rationale from Case Opinion, educational outcomes, and appeal process procedures (including deadlines).

IV. APPEAL

Appeals are due within <u>5 business</u> days of Notice of Outcome to the Vice President for Student Affairs o
designee.
Either party may raise a challenge to the Appeals Officer on the basis of actual bias or conflict of interest.
This challenge must be raised, in writing, to the Title IX Coordinator within 2 business days of receipt of
notice of acceptance of the appeal.
The Complainent and/or December may appeal only the parts of the determination of responsibility and

- The Complainant and/or Respondent may appeal only the parts of the determination of responsibility and/or educational outcome(s), if applicable, directly relating to them. Dissatisfaction with the outcome of the case is not grounds for appeal.
 - The limited grounds for appeal are as follows:
 - 1. Procedural irregularity that affected the outcome of the matter and/or sanction;
 - 2. New evidence, not reasonably available at the time of the hearing regarding responsibility or dismissal of the formal complaint, that could affect the outcome of the matter;
 - 3. The Title IX Coordinator, investigator(s), adjudicator, or Senior Official had a conflict of interest or bias for or against Complainants or Respondents generally or the individual Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.

Complainant or Respondent that affected the outcome of the matter.
The Appeal Officer or designee will accept or deny the appeal within <u>5 business days</u> of receipt of the
appeal.
If accepted, the other party(ies) to the case are given the opportunity to respond within 5 business days of
acceptance of the appeal.
The Appeal officer or designee will make a decision within 10 business days of receiving all responses to
the appeal. Appeal decisions are final.